

### Jyothi Engineering College

Jyothi Hills, Panjal Road, Vettikattiri PO, Cheruthuruthy Thrissur, Kerala 679531

Phone: 04884259000, info@jecc.ac.in

Session: SSS\_ December 2022

Session Id: GS-SN7-Dec-2022

Survey Id: GS10-Dec-2022

Question	Option		TO THE	Overall Sco	of the state of
1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class		Mark	Opted	Opted %	1
and the symbols was covered in the class		5.00	134	23.76%	670
	70 to 84%	4.00	233	41.31%	932
	55 to 69%	3.00	152	26,95%	456
	30 to 54%	2.00	36	6.38%	72
	Below 30%	1.00	9	1,6%	9
2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	Thoroughly	5.00	114	20.18%	570
4	Satisfactorily	4.00	382	67.61%	1528
*	Poorly	3.00	52	9.2%	156
	Indifferently	2.00	10	1.77%	20
,	Won't teach at all	1.00	7	1.24%	7
3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?	Always effective	5.00	176	31.15%	880
	Sometimes effective	4.00	255	45.13%	1020
	Just satisfactorily	3.00	111	19.65%	333
	Generally ineffective	2.00	16	2.83%	32
	Very poor communication	1.00	7.	1 24%	7
4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	Excellent	5.00	137	24.25%	685
	Very good	4.00	215	38.05%	860
	Good	3.00	158	27.96%	474
	Fair	2.00	43	7.61%	86
	Poor	1.00	12	2.12%	12
5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers	Always fair	5.00	185	32.86%	925
· ·	Usually fair	4.00	288	51.15%	
	Sometimes unfair	3.00	75		1152
	Usually unfair	2.00	7	13.32%	225
	Unfair	1.00		1.24%	14
6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	Every time	5.00	8	1.42%	8
, va.	Usually		147	26,11%	735
	Occasionally/Sometimes	4.00	253	44.94%	1012
	Rarely	3.00	117	20.78%	351
Colling College	Never	2.00	28	4.97%	56
	Mevel	1.00	18	3.2%	18

2000 CO		the second second second			1
7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for	Regularly	5.00	158	28.01%	790
students.	Often	4.00	200	35.46%	800
	Sometimes	3.00	120	21.28%	360
	Rarely	2.00	61	10.82%	122
	Never	1.00	2.5	4.43%	25
8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.	Significantly	5.00	137	24 25%	685
seemand year in cognitive, seeman and emotional growth.	Very well	4.00	213	37.7%	852
	Moderately	3.00	161	28.5%	483
	Marginally	2.00	30	5.31%	60
	Not at all	1.00	24	4,25%	24
9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.	Strongly agree	5.00	123	21.77%	615
illu grow.	Agree	4.00	232	41.06%	928
	Neutral	3.00	157	27.79%	471
	Disagree	2.00	35	6.19%	70.
	Strongly disagree	1.00	18	3.19%	18
0. Teachers inform you about your expected	Every time	5.00	149	26,37%	745
competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	Usually	4.00	269	47.61%	1070
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	104	18.41%	312
	Rarely	2.00	29	5.13%	58
	Never	1.00	14	2,48%	14
1. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an	Every time	5.00	144	25.53%	720
ssigned task to you.	Usually	4.00	285	50.53%	1140
* 9	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	100	17.73%	300
	Rarely	2.00	26	4.61%	52
	I don't have a mentor	1.00	9	1.6%	9
2. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples	Every time	5.00	151	26.63%	755
nd applications.	Usually	4.00	261		
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00		46.03%	1044
	Rarely	2.00	115	20.28%	345
	Never		27	4.76%	54
3. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage	Fully	1.00	13	2,29%	13
ou with providing right level of challenges.		5.00	136	23,99%	680
	Reasonably	4.00	255	44.97%	1020
	Partially	3.00	104	18.34%	312
-	Slightly	2.00	42	7.41%	84
4 Tanaham ara akla ta ida tifa a	Unable to	1.00	30	5.29%	30
4. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and elp you to overcome them.	Every time	5.00	127	22,4%	635
ostri Engineering	Usually	4.00	231	40.74%	924
elp you to overcome them.	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	115	20.28%	345

	Rarely	2.00	61	10.76%	6 12
	Never	1.00	33	5.82%	
15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement	Strongly agree	5.00	148	26.19%	
of the teaching learning process.	Agree	4.00	235		
	Neutral	3.00	138	24.42%	-
	Disagree	2.00	27	4.78%	54
-	Strongly disagree	1.00	17	3.01%	17
16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem-solving methodologies for a learning and	To a great extent	5.00	135	23.98%	
problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.	Moderate	4.00	275	48.85%	-
	Some what	3.00	95	16.87%	+
	Very little	2.00	42	7.46" u	84
	Not at all	1.00	16	2.84%	16
7. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.	Strongly agree	5.00	116	20.6%	580
	Agree	4.00	218	38.72%	872
	Neutral	3.00	156	27.71%	468
	Disagree	2.00	43	7.64%	86
	Strongly disagree	1.00	30	5 33%	30
<ol> <li>Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate oft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you eady for the world of work.</li> </ol>	To a great extent	5.00	137	24.25%	685
and to the world of work.	Moderate	4.00	254	44.96%	1016
	Some what	3.00	107	18.94%	321
	Very little	2.00	43	7.61%	86
0.107	Not at all	1.00	24	4 25"	24
9. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as CD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.	Above 90%	5.00	229	40.53%	1145
5	70 – 89%	4.00	221	39.12%	884
	50 – 69%	3.00	83	14.69%	249
	30 – 49%	2.00	17	3.01%	34
The overall quality of	Below 29%	1.00	15	2.65%	15
20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.	Strongly agree	5.00	131	23.19%	655
	Agree	4.00	255	45.13%	1020
	Neutral	3.00	145	25.66%	435
	Disagree	2.00	18	3.19%	36
	Strongly disagree	1.00	16	2.83%	16



#### BATCH WISE RESULTS - SAMPLE



## **Jyothi Engineering College**

Jyothi Hills, Panjal Road, Vettikattiri PO, Cheruthuruthy Thrissur, Kerala 679531

Phone: 04884259000, info@jecc.ac.in

Session: SSS\_ December 2022 - CE 2K20

Session Id: GS-SN7-Dec-2022

Survey Id GS10-Dec-2022

n Question		1		Overall Sc	
	Option	Mark	Opted	Opted %	Mar
1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	85 to 100%	5.00	7	19.44%	35
	70 to 84%	4.00	24	66.67%	96
	55 to 69%	3.00	4	11.11%	12
	30 to 54%	2.00	. 1	2.78%	2
	Below 30%	1.00	0	0%	0
2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	Thoroughly	5.00	5	13.89%	25
	Satisfactorily	4.00	30	83,33%	120
	Poorly	3.00	, j'	2.78%	3
	Indifferently	2.00	0	0%	0
	Won't teach at all	1.00	0	0"0	0
3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?	Always effective	5.00	6	16.67%	30
	Sometimes effective	4.00	23	63.89%	92
	Just satisfactorily	3.00	7	19.44%	21
	Generally ineffective	2.00	0	0%	0
	Very poor communication	1.00	0	0%	0
4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	Excellent	5.00	7	19.44%	35
	Very good	4.00	15	41.67%	60
	Good	3.00	13	36.11%	39
	Fair	2.00	2.1	2.78%	2
	Poor	1.00	0	000	0
5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers	Always fair	5.00	8	22.22%	40
	Usually fair	4.00	21	58.33%	84
	Sometimes unfair	3.00	7	19.44%	21
	Usually unfair	2.00	0	0%	0
	Unfair	1.00	0	0%	0
6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	Every time	5.00	5	13.89%	25
	Usually	4.00	17	47.22%	68
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	11		
Oth Engineering	Rarely	2.00	3	8.33%	33
	VX.	1 4.00	, ,	X 55%	6

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting	Regularly	5.00	16	16.67%	30
internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.	Often	4,00	17	47.22%	68
	Sometimes	3.00	12	33.33%	36
	Rarely	2.00	12	2.78%	-
	Never		10		2
8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution	Significantly	1.00		0%	0
8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.		5.00	9	25%	45
	Very well	4.00	15	41,67%	60
	Moderately	3.00	11	30.56%	33
*	Marginally	2.00	1	2.78%	2
0.75	Not at all	1.00	0	0%	0
9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.	Strongly agree	5.00	7	19.44%	35
	Agree	4.00	15	41.67%	60
	Neutral	3.00	14	38.89%	42
	Disagree	2.00	0	0%,	0
	Strongly disagree	1.00	0	0%.	0
10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme	Every time	5.00	6	16.67%	30
outcomes.	Usually	4.00	20	55.56%	80
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	8	22.22%	24
	Rarely	2.00	2	5,56%	4
	Never	1.00	0	0%	0
11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.	Every time	5.00	5	13.89%	25
assigned task to you.	Usually	4.00	23	63.89%	92
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	8	22.22%	24
	Rarely	2.00	0	0%	0
	I don't have a mentor	1.00	O	0%	U
12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples	Every time	5.00	5	13.89%	25
and applications.	Usually	4.00	24	66.67%	96
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	6	16,67%	18
ng Floring	Rarely	2.00	1 1	·	
	Never	1.00	+	2 78%	2
13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage	Fully		0	0%	0
you with providing right level of challenges.	Reasonably	5.00	6	16.67%	30
	Partially	4.00	19	52.78%	76
	Slightly	3.00	9	25%	27
		2.00	1	2.78"6	2
14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and	Unable to	1.00	1	2.78%	1
help you to overcome them.	Every time	5.00	6	16.67%	30
A TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS	Usually	4.00	17	47.22%	68
nelp you to overcome them.	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	9	25%	27

	Rarely	2.00	3	8.33%	6
	Never	1.00	1	2.78%	1
5. The institution makes effort to engage students in the nonitoring, review and continuous quality improvement	Strongly agree	5.00	7	19.44%	35
f the teaching learning process.	Agree	4.00	18	50%	72
	Neutral	3.00	ĬI.	30.56%	33
	Disagree	2.00	0	0%	0
	Strongly disagree	1.00	0	0%	0
6. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and	To a great extent	5.00	5	13.89%	25
problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.	Moderate	4.00	25	69.44%	100
	Some what	3.00	5	13.89%	15
	Very little	2.00	i	2.78%	2
	Not at all	1.00	0	0%	0
17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.	Strongly agree	5.00	5	13.89%	25
	Agree	4.00	18	50%	72
	Neutral	3.00	10	27.78%	30
	Disagree	2.00	3	8.33%	6
	Strongly disagree	1.00	0	0.0	0
18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you	To a great extent	5.00	8	22.22%	40
ready for the world of work.	Moderate	4.00	19	52.78%	76
	Some what	3.00	8	22.22%	24
	Very little	2.00	ļ	2.78%	2
	Not at all	1.00	0	0%	0
19. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.	Above 90%	5.00	8	22,22%	40
	70 – 89%	4.00	21	58.33%	84
¥	50 – 69%	3.00	7	19.44%	21
	30 – 49%	2.00	0	0%	0
	Below 29%	1.00	0	0%	0
20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.	Strongly agree	5.00	6	16.67%	30
1	Agree	4.00	18	50%	72
	Neutral	3.00	12	. 33.33%	36
	Disagree	2.00	0	0%	0
	Strongly disagree	1.00	0	0%	0



#### BATCH WISE RESULTS -SAMPLE



# Jyothi Engineering College

Jyothi Hills, Panjal Road, Vettikattiri PO, Cheruthuruthy Thrissur, Kerala 679531

Phone: 04884259000, info@jecc.ac.in

Session: SSS\_ December 2022 - ECE 2K20

Session Id: GS-SN7-Dec-2022

Survey Id: GS10-Dec-2022

rs Completed : 33				*	Overall Sc	
		Option	Mark	Opted	Opted %	Ma
1. How much of the syllabus was cover	ered in the class?	85 to 100%	5.00	5	15.15%	25
		70 to 84%	4.00	16	48.48%	64
×		55 to 69%	3.00	7	21.21%	21
		30 to 54%	2.00	3	9.09%	6
		Below 30%	1.00	2	6.06%	2
2. How well did the teachers prepare for	or the classes?	Thoroughly	5.00	4	12.12%	20
		Satisfactorily	4.00	22	66.67%	88
		Poorly	3.00	5	15.15%	15
		Indifferently	2.00	0	0%	0
i i		Won't teach at all	1.00	2	6.06%	2
3. How well were the teachers able to o	communicate?	Always effective	5.00	6	18.18%	30
	Sometimes effective	4.00	14	42.42%	56	
	Just satisfactorily	3.00	8	24.24%	24	
	Generally ineffective	2.00	2	6.06%	4	
		Very poor communication	1.00	3	9.09%	3
4. The teacher's approach to teaching codescribed as	an best be	Excellent	5.00	5	15.15%	25
		Very good	4.00	-12	36.36%	48
		Good	3.00	10	30.3%	30
,		Fair	2.00	3	9.09%	6
		Poor	1.00	3	9.09%	3
5. Fairness of the internal evaluation pro teachers	ocess by the	Always fair	5.00	6	18.18%	30
		Usually fair	4.00	22	66.67%	88
2		Sometimes unfair	3.00	2	6.06%	6
	Usually unfair	2.00	0	0%	0	
	Unfair	1.00	3		3	
6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	Every time	5.00	4		20	
	Usually	4.00	14		6	
thi Engli	meering College	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	10		0
	Q 1 18 1	Rarely	2.00		3.03% 2	
il ele	-0   0	Never	1.00		12.12% 4	

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting	Regularly	5.00	4	12.12",	20
internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.	Often	4.00	10	30.3%	40
	Sometimes	3,00	8	24.24%	24
	Rarely	2.00	5	15.15%	10
	Never	1.00	6	18.18%	6
8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution	Significantly	5.00	4	12.12%	20
facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.	Very well	4.00	12	36.36%	48
	Moderately	3.00	9	27.27%	27
	Marginally	2.00	4	12.12%	к
	Not at all	1.00	4	12.12%	4
9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn	Strongly agree	5.00	3	9,09%	15
and grow.	Agree	4.00	14	42.42%	56
	Neutral	3.00	9	27.27%	27.
	Disagree	2.00	5	15.15%	10
	Strongly disagree	1.00	2	6.06%	2.
10. Teachers inform you about your expected	Every time	5.00	5	15.15%	25
competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	Usually	4.00	16	48.48%	64
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	5	15.15%	15
	Rarely	2.00	3	9.09%	6
	Never	1.00	4	12.12%	4
11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an	Every time	5.00	2	6.06%	10
assigned task to you.	Usually	4.00	21	63.64%	84
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	5.	15.15%	15
	Rarely	2.00	2	6.06%	4
	I don't have a mentor	1.00	3	9.09%	3
12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples	Every time	5.00	6	18.18%	30
and applications.	Usually	4.00	15	45.45%	60
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	5	15.15%	+
	Rarely	2.00	4	12.12%	8
	Never	1.00	3	9.09%	3
13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage	Fully	5.00	-		
you with providing right level of challenges.	Reasonably		2	6.06%	10
		4.00	17	51.52%	-
	Partially	3.00	5	15.15%	-
	Slightly	2.00	4	12.12%	8
	Unable to	1.00	5	15.15%	5
4. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and elp you to overcome them.	Every time	5.00	4	12.12%	20
elp you to overcome them.	Usually	4.00	12	36.36%	48
	Occasionally/Sometimes	3.00	5	15.15%	15
RUTHY, THRISS R				•	

	Rarely	2.00	6	18.18%	12
	Never	1.00	6	18.16%	8
15. The natitution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement	Strongly agree	5.00	,	1616.	.4
of the teaching learning process.	Agree	4.00	19	4140.	Dez
	Neutral	3.00	7	21.21%	21
	Disagree	2.00	3	9 (99")	
	Strongly disagree	1.00	1	a one.	1
14. The natitude trachers we student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and	To a great extent	5.00	,	13 130.	
problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning resperiences.	Manderane	4.00	13	49.45%	AN
	Some what	3.00	5	13 15%	13
	Very little	2.00	,	a tine"	6
	Nice at all	1.00	3	13.15%	3
17. Deschen encounge you to participate in oxinecurricular activities.	Strongly agree	5 00	1	o por a	10
	Agree	4.00	12	10: 10%	9.1
	Neural	1.00		24.24%	, t
	Disappress	2(10)	•	B 年 日 年 10 14	10
	Strongly Basgess	E 00)	4	R. E. H. Selvin	
4. Lifterts on made by the institute teachers to mealisate off skills, life skills and englishability skills to make you	for god occur	5.000	8	or thomas	1.4
saily for his world of work.	Walterna	4.00	Rh	to ton	4.
	Some what	3,00	6	E4, E4%	1.4
	प्रेक्टर विवाद	200	1	Ja Java	l-k
	Not at all	h Oto	+	h k h m	k
<ol> <li>What percentage of teachers use ICE tools such as Elliproportor, Waltenedla, etc. white teaching.</li> </ol>	Linux Hit/4	5 (10)	•	P. F. 100/10	20
•	70 - NY14	#. OH	8.9	in in	4.3
	MI = 100%	3. (20)	*	2" 3" loy	2.1
	30 : 40%	2 000	>	11190	ist
	Believ Pring	t (1812)	3	6 ittes,	1
Plu award quality of searting fearing process is no notition is very good.	Strongly agree	1.00	ì	S. Spatia	12
	Agree .	4.00	12	10.10%	041
The state of the s	Doubled	3 (36)	9	11120,0	17
· ·	Dongess	£ (86)	1	64 +1960 ° ,	
But and a second	Swage Sugar	1 580		12.12%	,

The student estiminates common combinated to new institution and bound on the survey occurs agreement a settle for indifferent in



Principal

Dx. 2015 P Total ATTA

Pencipal

Jyoth Engineering College

Cheralharumy - C1513